

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/863,321	05/24/2001	Volkmar Heuer	Q64387	1370
7590 07/15/2005 SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			EXAMINER	
			PHAN,	PHAN, TRI H
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<b>.</b>			2661	

DATE MAILED: 07/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		(Ir				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/863,321	HEUER, VOLKMA	<b>∖</b> R			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tri H. Phan	2661				
The MAILING DATE of this community  Period for Reply	unication appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisio after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this coi  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION.  ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however munication.  (30) days, a reply within the statutory minities statutory period will apply and will expire Sply will, by statute, cause the application to after the mailing date of this communication.	ver, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timel IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this continued to the come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>26 <i>April</i> 2005</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is non-fina	I.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-10 is/are reject. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to rest.	/are withdrawn from considera					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any ob	jection to the drawing(s) be held i	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	to by the Examiner. Note the	attached Office Action of form 7	0-102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	by documents have been receively documents have been receives of the priority documents have been received and the priority documents have been priority documents have been received and the priority documen	ved. ved in Application No ve been received in this National a)).	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗌 اا	nterview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	(PTO-948)	aper No(s)/Mail Date	3110			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 ( Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTC Other:	)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/863,321

Art Unit: 2661

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Amendment/Arguments

1. This Office Action is in response to the Response/Amendment filed on April 26<sup>th</sup>, 2005.

Claims 1-10 are now pending in the application.

# Claim Objections

2. Claims 6 and 9 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 2, line 2, the word "the" in front of the term "same size" should be deleted for clarity.

In claim 9, line 7, the word "the" in front of the term "unchanged overhead sections" should be deleted for clarity.

Appropriate corrections are required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Wakim et al.** (U.S.6,477,178; hereinafter refer as '**Wakim**').

Application/Control Number: 09/863,321 Page 3

Art Unit: 2661

- In regard to claim 1, Wakim discloses in Figs. 1-2 and in the respective portions of the specification about the system and method for transmitting, via the a synchronous digital transport network, frame-structured synchronous multiplex signal, composed of frames having the payload section and the overhead section, in the payload section of which multiplex units are multiplexed according the multiplex hierarchy (For example see Figs. 1-2; Abstract), comprising the step of transmitting the frame of the frame-structured synchronous multiplex signal to be transmitted, including its unchanged overhead section, as payload in the concatenation of newly formed multiplex units ("without terminating the synchronous path or associated overhead portions of the synchronous payload envelops 'SPE's"; For example see Figs. 1-2; col. 4, lines 55-61; col. 14, lines 6-8).

- Regarding claim 2, in addition to features in base claim 1 (see rationales pertaining the rejection of base claim 1 discussed above), Wakim further discloses about creating a number of new multiplex units of the same size, and concatenating these new multiplex units form the virtual concatenation, packing the frame, including the overhead section thereof, in payload sections of the concatenated new multiplex units, creating the new frame and embedding the concatenated new multiplex units in the payload section and transmitting the new frame via the synchronous transport network (For example see Figs. 1-2; col. 7, lines 30-42; col. 8, lines 19-35).

Art Unit: 2661

- In regard to claim 3, in addition to features in base claim 1 (see rationales pertaining the rejection of base claim 1 discussed above), **Wakim** further discloses about the synchronous transport network is the SDH network, wherein the frames are synchronous transport modules of the type STM-N where N = 1, 4, 16 or 64, wherein the multiplex units are virtual containers of the type VC-N where N = 11, 12, 2, 3, or 4 or contiguously concatenated virtual containers of the type VC-4-Nc where N = 4 or 16, and wherein the newly formed multiplex units are virtual containers of the type VC-N where N = 3 or 4 (For example see col. 8, lines 19-35; col. 14, lines 6-8).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Wakim et al.** (U.S.6,477,178; hereinafter refer as '**Wakim**').
- In regard to claims 5-8, in addition to features in base claim 1 (see rationales pertaining the rejection of base claim 1 discussed above), **Wakim** discloses all the subject matter of the claimed invention as discussed in **part 4** above in this Office action, including the system and method for trafficking telecommunication signals having various formats, by mapping the synchronous payload envelope into the transport signal without terminating the synchronous path

Art Unit: 2661

or associated overhead portion of the synchronous payload envelope. **Wakim** does disclose about the different level of signals mapping (For example see col. 8, lines 19-35; col. 14, lines 3-13), but fails to explicitly disclose about specific rates mapping on specific system such as "STM-N, OC-N or OC-3-Nc into M virtually concatenated virtual containers of the type VC-4 or VC-3". However, it is obvious that, depending on the data frame rates of the specific system, the data frames are mapped into the nearest available SDH virtual container rates; therefore, the specific type and number of virtually concatenated virtual containers are decided on system by system, as matter of choices.

Thus it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide specific type and number of virtually concatenated virtual containers for the specific system, which bases on the data rate as matter of choices.

- 7. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin et al. (EP 0874488 A2; hereinafter refer as 'Martin') in view of Wakim et al. (U.S.6,477,178; hereinafter refer as 'Wakim').
- In regard to claim 9, **Martin** discloses in Figs. 1-6 and in the respective portions of the specification about the *multiplexer for a synchronous digital transport network* ('transparent multiplexer/demultiplexer of the SONET transport node'; For example see Fig. 6; Abstract), which comprises the tributary input ('trib input ports') for receiving the first frame-structured synchronous multiplex signal ('OC-48') being composed of first frames each having a payload section and an overhead section (Fig. 1), in the payload sections of which multiplex units are

inserted in accordance with the multiplex hierarchy (For example see Fig. 6; page 7, lines 19-27), the multiplex device ('STS-1 manager'; see Fig. 6) connected to the tributary input, for creating new multiplex units ('STS-N'), for concatenating the newly formed multiplex units to form a concatenation ('STS-Nc'; For example see page 3, lines 44-54) and the output ('SC output port') for creating and transmitting a second, frame-structured synchronous multiplex signal composed of second frames in whose payload sections the concatenated, newly formed multiplex units are inserted ('supercarrier STS-192'; For example see Fig. 6; page 10, lines 29-32). Martin does disclose wherein the SC TOHP processor creates the SC TOH for the supercarrier STS-192 from the signals received from the Trib TOH, e.g. leaves the overhead section of the STS-N unchanged (For example see Fig. 6; page 9, line 37 through page 11, line 3), but fails to explicitly disclose wherein the packing frame "including the unchanged overhead sections, as payload in the concatenation of the newly formed multiplex units"). However, such implementation is known in the art.

For example, **Wakim** discloses in Figs. 1-2 and in the respective portions of the specification about the system and method for trafficking telecommunication signals having various formats, by using the matrix for mapping the synchronous payload envelope into the transport signal without terminating the synchronous path or associated overhead portion of the synchronous payload envelope ("including the unchanged overhead section, as payload in the concatenation of the newly formed multiplex units"; For example see Figs. 1-2; Abstract; col. 4, lines 55-61; col. 14, lines 6-8). **Wakim** also disclose about the different level of signals mapping (For example see col. 8, lines 19-35; col. 14, lines 3-13).

Thus it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement the matrix's mapping as taught by **Wakim** into the **Martin**'s multiplexer, with the motivation being to reduce system cost and ensure signal integrity as disclosed in **Wakim**: col. 2, lines 16-22.

- Regarding claim 10, **Martin** further discloses about the switching matrix ('Tmux'; For example see Fig. 6; page 7, lines 19-27) for selectively switching of multiplex units, wherein the multiplex device ('STS-1 manager') is connected to a matrix input ('Trib input port') and the output is connected a matrix output ('SC output port').

## Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed on April 26<sup>th</sup>, 2005 with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim (claim 1), but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/863,321

Art Unit: 2661

Oksanen et al. (U.S.5,666,351), Turban, Karl-Albert (U.S.6,700,900) and Heuer, Volkmar (U.S.6,842,455) are all cited to show devices and methods for improving the transmission data in the optical network of the telecommunication architectures, which are considered pertinent to the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tri H. Phan, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3074. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-3126.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

#### **Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office, whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Application/Control Number: 09/863,321 Page 9

Art Unit: 2661

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BRIAN NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Tri H. Phan July 12, 2005